1 (Case called)

2.3

THE COURT: I want to make sure that I get your name pronounced correctly. Is it Kapitonov?

MR. KAPITONOV: Kapitonov.

THE COURT: Mr. Kapitonov for Mr. Shulaya.

MR. KAPITONOV: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Shulaya, you can be seated, sir.

Mr. Kapitonov, go ahead and make your application, sir, or give me a preview of it if you are not prepared to make it right now.

MR. KAPITONOV: No, your Honor. My client is a lawful permanent resident. He has resided in the United States for approximately 17 years. He has a wife. His wife was supposed to be on the way. I believe there was an accident or some kind of investigation on the Brooklyn Bridge. I have been in constant contact with her. He has friends in the audience who are willing to act as sureties for him. He has been working in the United States. He resides in the tristate area. He has an apartment in Edgewater. His wife resides in Queens. They reside together. Although they are apartments, he does have ties to the community. There is no real estate holdings. But he is established in the community, for that matter. And that's evidenced by the fact that he has friends in the audience.

I understand that there is an issue in Russia. So the

2.3

pretrial services report addressed that there was a warrant out of Russia. I submit that that is actually a reason why he would not flee the country, as he risks arrest if he shows up in Russia.

THE COURT: Let me just ask you, Mr. Kapitonov, before you proceed, do you have a copy -- I literally did not know a bail application until you mentioned it at the end, so I don't yet have in front of me a copy of the pretrial services report. Do you have a copy of it?

MR. KAPITONOV: I reviewed a copy. It was taken back.

THE COURT: We will print it off before we complete
this.

Keep going, Mr. Kapitonov.

MR. KAPITONOV: I guess when you see the report. It does say that he has a warrant in Russia. That warrant it says for an offense that occurred in 2002. However, the warrant was not issued until 2016, which I submit is rather suspect, for one. And two, again, if he has a warrant in Russia, that simply ensures that he will not flee the United States and will not go to Russia. I believe that his passport was already taken in. If not, he will absolutely submit all travel documents to the government and will not apply for new travel documents. I think that he will come back to court.

Again, he will be unduly prejudiced if he is kept in a remand status. As you mentioned during this conference, this

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is a massive case. There is massive volumes of discovery. would be prejudicial to me to represent him if I'm unable -- if he is in custody, one, it is very hard to get hard drives and other items into custody. Two, simply the time that would require for me to spend with my client in order to prepare for this case. It would be extremely difficult to do it with my client in custody and it would impose an unfair financial burden because I would have to do everything in the Metropolitan Detention Center. For that reason, I do ask that every other codefendant is out, I believe, on \$200,000 personal

THE COURT: There are a number of who have been remanded. Each defendant actually has their own story, as you can appreciate, and so not everybody has been released, but there are a number who have been, as we saw this morning.

MR. KAPITONOV: Yes, your Honor. I understand. is out, there are ways to ensure that he returns to court. I believe an ankle monitor would be appropriate. Again, he has people that are willing to cosign. I believe of the people that are released on bond it is approximately \$200,000 bond with two financially responsible persons. If you would like to increase that, I understand it's necessary. But I do think that that would be a reasonable bond in this case with ankle monitoring or some kind of GPS tracking for my client.

THE COURT: I still need to get a copy.

Do you happen to have a copy, Mr. Adams?

2.3

MR. ADAMS: I have to hand it back in as well.

THE COURT: They are sending it up right now.

Let me just ask you, Mr. Kapitonov, before you sit down, obviously you've read the indictment and you understand the charges for your client. You've addressed risk of flight. As you know, there is also danger to the community. And so given the nature of the allegations in the indictment, why don't you address that and why the Court, in your view, should not be concerned to the level that would be required under 3142.

MR. KAPITONOV: Yes, your Honor. These are serious allegations, but they are allegations at this point. My client has no criminal convictions in the United States. He does not have convictions in Russia. He does have the outstanding warrant, I understand that. Again, that was issued in 2016. We really don't know anything about it other than the fact that a warrant exists. But given that, he has no convictions.

In terms of the indictment, yes, it is a RICO. As you see, every count is either a financial crime — it's either transportation of cigarettes, it is theft of chocolate, from my understanding, and some kind of gambling devices. It doesn't allege violence except for one instance where it is alleged that my client struck a confidential informant.

From my understanding, that does not rise to the risk

1 to the community because, again, it is financial a crime and 2 one issue is the assault which, your Honor, a person -- one, my 3 client is not charged with the assault and, two, a person in 4 that situation is certainly entitled to a bond. The crimes 5 that are alleged in the indictment are not crimes against the 6 community. They are more financial crimes for personal 7 benefit. Again, I believe the majority of it is gambling 8 operations, an attempt to defraud casinos, cigarettes and chocolate, not particularly dangerous crimes. And I do want to 9 10 note that my client is listed very seldom throughout the indictment. He is a member --11 THE COURT: He's alleged to be the leader. 12 13 MR. KAPITONOV: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: I believe this is Mr. Shulaya is alleged 15 to be the primary leader of the entire organization. 16 MR. KAPITONOV: Right. Your Honor, the organization 17 itself is not violent. Again, in the indictment I do not 18 see -- other than what appears to be a personal dispute with 19 one of the confidential informants, I don't see that this 20 organization is a threat to the community as it is. As 21 alleged, the organization simply is -- the purpose of the

organization is to make money. Again, that is all alleged,

indictment. It's mentioned as the leader, but a lot of the

other actors are the ones charged with the most serious

your Honor. And my client shows up very seldom throughout the

22

23

24

25

H6EMSHYLIG2cr-00350-KBF Document 247 Filed 08/23/17 Page 7 of 13

2.3

offenses. For example, the drug trafficking, my client is not mentioned in that. For that reason, your Honor, I submit that my client is not a risk to the community.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure we are in agreement on the relevant standard to be applied. It would be under 18 U.S.C. 3142. And for risk of flight it would need to be by a preponderance of the evidence, and for danger to the community it's clear and convincing. Are we in agreement on that?

MR. KAPITONOV: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I have gotten the pretrial services report. Bear with me folks for one moment. My deputy has just handed it to me. Let me just take a look at it, if I could.

You can be seated, Mr. Kapitonov, because I'll ask Mr. Adams to address your points in just a moment.

MR. KAPITONOV: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: You had mentioned, Mr. Kapitonov, that your client would have resources, but it doesn't appear that his net worth, as reported to pretrial services, would support very much. He has only an estimated net worth of \$75,000. I assume that you are retained. So I assume that a chunk of that would need to go to his legal defense, and he's also got a monthly cash flow which if he were on anything like home detention would mean that he wouldn't have the ability to do the job that he apparently had, which was transportation.

It doesn't look like he has got any assets.

MR. KAPITONOV: I understand that. Your Honor, I would ask that if he is allowed to be released that he be allowed to go to and from work. It is a transportation company, not — it's not that he travels from state to state. I do understand that his financials are limited. However, he should not be punished for that.

THE COURT: My point was, also, you were saying, well, the other folks have had a \$200,000 bond, but you can increase it for him. My point is, what's the basis of even suggesting that it could be increased?

MR. KAPITONOV: Right. Your Honor, I understand. I'm just saying that the allegations here — it has to be proven. He doesn't know half the people in the indictment. This is a very loose organization. A lot of the things that he's charged with he simply has nothing — we will get to that later on. At this point it's only allegations.

In terms of flight risk, there is no history of flight risk and if he goes back to Russia he will be arrested. There goes the motivation for him -- that's why he would stay in the United States.

As far as a risk to the community and as a flight risk, he has no history of flight because he has no criminal history. And, again, as far as risk to the community, it is not alleged that he has a threat to the community. These are

$^{\mathrm{H6CHSH}}$ 1.152cr-00350-KBF Document 247 Filed 08/23/17 Page 9 of 13

1 | all financial crimes.

2.3

In terms of money, I understand. Your Honor, if he's allowed to stay at home and only travel to work, I believe he would be able to meet his financial obligations.

THE COURT: What is your understanding of his job?

MR. KAPITONOV: It is a transportation company.

THE COURT: What's his role in it?

MR. KAPITONOV: Management.

THE COURT: And what does it transport?

MR. KAPITONOV: It is tow trucks. It leases tow trucks. It rents them out. That is the part of the company. He is simply the coordinator, the manager of who it's leased to, where the trucks go and where they come back. He's not a driver or anything like that.

THE COURT: Where is this Lucas Transportation? Where are they situated?

MR. KAPITONOV: In Brooklyn, your Honor.

THE COURT: The recommendation I see from pretrial is that he be detained. They say in terms of pretrial's assessment that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure his appearance, and they are also concerned about safety to the community. However, the Court makes its own independent determination of bail and I don't rely upon what pretrial has done, though I look at it very, very carefully.

1 (In open court)

2.3

THE COURT: Mr. Kapitonov.

MR. KAPITONOV: Your Honor, can I have one more minute.

THE COURT: Sure, yes. The other thing we can do is, we can come back later today.

MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, I'm happy to do it now, but I didn't realize this was going to be on the agenda. I have an 11:00 plea.

THE COURT: We will just wait then for Mr. Kapitonov to complete his conference with his client.

Where are we?

MR. KAPITONOV: Your Honor, I spoke with my client. He does want to proceed with the bail application.

THE COURT: That's fine. As he is entitled to do.

And I assume that you have raised with him the issue that was raised by Mr. Adams at side bar.

MR. KAPITONOV: Yes.

THE COURT: As your client understands, that will be now be raised in open court. It is not a sealed proceeding. It is a public proceeding. The issue will be raised. I'll say it again in open court. This is not a sealed proceeding. It's going to be a public proceeding. The transcript will be available and I would note that there is also a representative of the press in the room. And, therefore, Mr. Shulaya should

HCTASHL192cr-00350-KBF Document 247 Filed 08/23/17 Page 12 of 13

expect that it would receive widespread attention.

With that, if he is prepared to proceed, we are prepared to proceed. The Court is willing to hear any bail application you would like to make.

Mr. Adams.

MR. KAPITONOV: Your Honor, may I have one more minute.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KAPITONOV: Yes, your Honor. After speaking with my client, we would like to continue this at a later date.

THE COURT: Here is what I would suggest. It is easier, I think, for all of us to have, if possible, a written submission in advance. And that way I can see, Mr. Kapitonov, all of your bases for making a bail application, and you will be able to then and the Court will be able to review the government's position in detail in advance. Then with all of that information in front of us, I'll be able to then come out on to the bench more fully prepared to address the application. If you'd like to proceed at a later date, that's the way I would prefer to do it.

However, let me reiterate that either now or at any other time I stand ready to hear a bail application from any defendant. I always make it an absolute priority. Do you want to set a time now down in July or do you want to just confer with the government on a time and get back to me?